Abstract. The use of dikegulac in production of hanging baskets (4.5-liter capacity) of Bougainvillea ‘Rainbow Gold’ was investigated under decreasing daylengths and high temperatures. Liners treated with a single application of 1200 ppm dikegulac 4 weeks after transplanting and pruning (WATP) resulted in the only marketable hanging baskets of 9 WATP. Application of 1200 ppm dikegulac 4 WATP enhanced flowering and aesthetic quality compared with plants that had only been pruned. Bract size did not appear to be reduced. Plant width tended to increase as time of application was delayed. Dikegulac had no effect on height or branching. Chemical name used: sodium salt of 2,3,4,6-bis-O-(1-methylthylidene)-a-L-xyl-2-hexulofuranosonic acid (dikegulac-sodium).

Production of hanging baskets of bougainvillea can be profitable considering the relatively short production time (rooted liner to finished plant) and premium retail prices they often command. However, increases in profitability and production could be realized if flowering and vegetative growth were more easily controlled. Considerable labor is required during production of many bougainvillea cultivars, including ‘Rainbow Gold’ and ‘Barbara Karst’, because they grow vigorously. Flowering of bougainvillea is promoted under short days, although high light intensity and moderate temperatures can also enhance flowering (Allard, 1935; Hackett and Sachs, 1966, 1967; Joiner et al., 1962).

The use of plant growth regulators (PGR) to control growth and flowering has met with limited success. Chlormequat and ancymidol caused ‘Raspberry Ice’ and ‘San Diego Red’ bougainvillea to flower 2 weeks earlier than untreated plants did not (Buruta et al., 1972). Criley (1977), however, reported that neither ancymidol, daminozide, nor chlormequat stimulated flowering of ‘Carmenita’ bougainvillea. Profuse flowering of ‘Carmenita’ was achieved under artificially shortened daylengths during the summer.

Dikegulac inhibited growth and increased branching of ‘Raspberry Ice’ and ‘San Diego Red’ bougainvillea but did not enhance flowering under short days (Dierking and Sanderson, 1985). Likewise, dikegulac did not enhance flowering of ‘Raspberry Ice’ bougainvillea (G. Cobb, personal communication). In late Aug. 1989, I (J.G.N.) observed at a nursery in Central Florida that hanging baskets of ‘Barbara Karst’ bougainvillea treated with 1600 ppm dikegulac 2 to 3 weeks earlier were flowering much more profusely than non-treated plants. The plants were near marketable size and had few to no visible inflorescences when treated, although flower buds may have already been initiated. While the bract size of the dikegulac-treated bougainvillea appeared to be reduced 25% to 50%, the overall flowering impact was superior to untreated plants, which had only a few normal size inflorescences.

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of dikegulac on growth and flowering of ‘Rainbow Gold’ bougainvillea under decreasing daylengths and high temperatures.
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Table 1. Effect of dikegulac applied at 0, 2, 4, or 6 weeks after transplanting on flowering and size of ’Rainbow Gold’ bougainvillea. Liners were transplanted to 4.5-liter (25.4-cm) baskets on 1 Aug. 1990. Data were recorded 3 Oct. 1990.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dikegulac concn (ppm)</th>
<th>Time of application (wk)</th>
<th>Time of pruning (wk)</th>
<th>Inflorescences/branch*</th>
<th>Width (cm)</th>
<th>Overall quality†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0, 4</td>
<td>0, 0</td>
<td>7.8 b</td>
<td>64.8 b</td>
<td>5.0 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>0, 0</td>
<td>0, 0</td>
<td>4.4 b</td>
<td>64.5 b</td>
<td>3.0 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0, 2</td>
<td>0, 4</td>
<td>5.5 b</td>
<td>72.9 ab</td>
<td>4.6 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.2 a</td>
<td>72.8 ab</td>
<td>7.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>4, 6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19.2 a</td>
<td>83.7 a</td>
<td>5.0 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application date, significance

| 0 wk vs. 0, 2 wk       | NS                      | NS                   | NS                    |
| 0 wk vs. 4 wk          | **                      | NS                   | ***                   |
| 0, 2 wk vs. 4, 6 wk    | **                      | **                   | NS                    |
| 4 wk vs. 4, 6 wk       | NS                      | *                    | **                    |

*Mean no. inflorescences per flowering branch.
†Rating scale was 1 = poor to 10 = excellent; values are means and represent the consensus of three observers.
‡Mean separation (within columns) by Duncan’s multiple range test, P = 0.05.
NS, **NS Non-significant or significant at P = 0.05, 0.01, or 0.001, respectively.
August and September were 34.9 and 32.2°C, respectively, with average nighttime lows of 21.4 and 17.7°C, respectively.

All bougainvillea were tip-pruned (0.5 to 1 cm) on 3 Aug. Plants were then sprayed with dikegulac at 600 or 1200 ppm (Atrium; PBJ Gordon, Kansas City, Kan.) at the time of pruning or 2, 4, or 6 weeks later. Bougainvillea not sprayed at 4 weeks were pinched; these plants also were pruned for shaping when it was necessary. The experiment was set up as a completely randomized design with six replications per treatment. Plants were hand-watered as needed, usually every 2 to 3 days.

On 3 Oct., height, width, the number of structural branches (branches longer than 15.2 cm) with and without inflorescences, and the total number of inflorescences were recorded. The mean number of inflorescences per flowering structural branch was calculated. The overall aesthetic quality as a finished bougainvillea in the hanging baskets was rated on a scale where 1 = poor and 10 = excellent. Plants with an overall quality rating of 7 were considered marketable. Marketable plants were defined as well branched with many fully expanded bracts, and at least 15 cm growth beyond the pot perimeter. The rating values recorded were our consensus. Data were subjected to analysis of variance by general linear model (GLM) procedures (SAS Institute, Inc., 1985). Means were separated using Duncan’s multiple range test at \( P = 0.05 \). Single-degree-of-freedom contrasts were conducted to determine the effect of timing of dikegulac treatment. Marketable hanging baskets were produced 9 weeks after transplanting and pruning (WATP), but only when the bougainvillea were treated with 1200 ppm dikegulac 4 WATP. Nine weeks is the average production time for this climate during the summer (Hatten’s Nursery, personal communication). The number of inflorescences per branch and overall aesthetic quality were higher for a single 1200 ppm dikegulac application 4 WATP than for the control (Table 1). Application of 600 ppm dikegulac at 4 and 6 WATP similarly enhanced flowering, but the overall quality of these bougainvillea was lower than for one application of 1200 ppm (Table 1), due to the proportion of bracts that had not yet fully expanded (no data collected). Therefore, dikegulac applied 4 WATP appeared to be the primary factor that promoted flowering during decreasing daylengths of late summer and early fall. Dikegulac at 1200 ppm did not appear to reduce bract size, although 1600 ppm appeared to reduce ‘Barbara Karst’ bougainvillea bract size \( \approx 25\% \) to 50\% as previously noted.

Height was unaffected by dikegulac (range 31.5-40.0 cm), but width tended to increase as time of application was delayed (Table 1). Bougainvillea treated with 600 ppm dikegulac 0 and 2 WATP, then pruned 4 WATP, generally had more pendulous growth habits, an observation not shown in the quantitative data. However, bougainvillea not pruned 4 WATP occasionally had upright shoots that detracted from the overall aesthetic rating. Dikegulac had no effect on total number of structural branches per basket (13.1 ± 0.5).

In conclusion, marketable hanging baskets of “Rainbow Gold” bougainvillea were produced from rooted liners in 9 weeks when treated with dikegulac under decreasing daylengths and high temperatures. However, one application of dikegulac did not reduce vegetative growth.
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Abstract. Apples (Malus domestica Borkh. cv. Anna) were treated at harvest by a dip in 3% CaCl₂ solution, heated for 4 days at 38°C, or the two treatments combined, before being placed in OC storage. After removal of the apples from storage and holding them for 1 week at 20°C, the combined treatment maintained fruit quality best. The fruit remained firmer than with either treatment separately, and peel yellowing and decreased titratable acidity caused by the heat treatment were less pronounced. Heat treatment alone maintained firmness, while CaCl₂ alone had no effect on fruit quality, although it raised the fruit calcium level more than the combined treatment. In most experiments, altering the temperature (0, 20, or 38°C) of the CaCl₂ dip did not change its efficacy. There was less soluble and more insoluble pectin in cell wall extracts of apples from the combined treatment than from other treatments. In addition, proportionally less Ca was present in the water-soluble pectin fraction of the combined treatment compared to other treatments, indicating different binding properties in the cell wall.

‘Anna’ is an early summer apple that stores very poorly. It ripens very quickly after harvest and softens even during cold storage. However, it has very low cold requirements for growth and for that reason is the main apple variety grown in many subtropical countries. It would, therefore, be beneficial to enhance its storage properties and allow for a longer postharvest marketing period.

Calcium applications during the growing season or as postharvest dips are often used to enhance the storage life of apples (Poo-viah, 1986). Calcium has long been associated with regulation of fruit ripening. Specifically, maintenance of relatively high calcium concentrations in fruit tissues results in slowing of ripening, as seen in lower respiration rates, reduced ethylene production, and slower softening of the fruit flesh. There are also some specific fruit disorders, such as bitter pit, that can be prevented if sufficient calcium is present (Ferguson, 1984).

A postharvest heat treatment was also found to enhance the storability of ‘Anna’ and ‘Granny Smith’ apples (Klein and Lurie, 1990). The rate of softening of fruit after
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